

# **Beware of The Elon Musk Funny Fake Financials He Uses To Pump His Valuations And Screw Shareholders**

 [Bloomberg](#)

Satyajit Das

[Bloomberg](#)

(Bloomberg Opinion) -- However frothy valuations currently seem to be, optimists can always argue they're justified by strong earnings. In the past four years, S&P 500 operating earnings per share have grown by nearly 40%.

Those numbers, however, may be as airy as the asset prices they support. The U.S. government's national income and product accounts -- which cover a broader number of businesses than the S&P, use tax returns and adjust for certain accounting practices -- suggest that corporate profits actually peaked in 2014 and have been stagnant since. The national accounts also show significant downward revisions to corporate profit margins over the previous five years. While one would expect some discrepancies between that data and S&P numbers, which are based on Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the gulf is too wide to be ignored.

What's going on? In many cases, accounting choices appear to be distorting results. In early 2019, General Electric Co. reported GAAP losses of \$2.43 per share; under adjusted figures it earned \$0.65 per share. Tesla Inc. reported full-year GAAP losses of \$5.72 per share but "non-GAAP" losses were only \$1.33 per share. Over 95% of S&P 500 companies regularly use at least one non-GAAP measure, up about 50% over the last 20 years.

One question is how companies choose to recognize income. In the case of long-term, multi-year contracts, such as construction projects, reported revenue can be based on a formula: a portion of the total contract amount, calculated as costs incurred in the relevant period as a percentage of total forecast costs. Understating estimated final costs allows margins to be increased and greater revenue to be recognized up front.

Following the collapse of Carillion PLC, the firm was found to be aggressive in recording income which was sensitive to small changes in assumptions. Given the trend to converting sales of products (such as software) into long-term service contracts, these risks are only going to grow.

Companies can understate expenses. Many tech companies use non-GAAP accounting to strip out the cost of employee stock options, for instance, thereby showing higher earnings. WeWork sought to redefine traditional earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization as something called "community-based EBITDA." The new measure conveniently excluded normal operating expenses such as marketing, general and administrative expenses, development and design costs.

Spending may be treated as an asset, to be written off in the future rather than when expended. A recent JPMorgan Chase

and Co. research report found software intangible assets (the amount spent but not yet expensed) averaged up to 15% of adjusted costs for a sample of European banks. The idea is to better match expenses to the period over which they are expected to benefit the business. But the practice may overstate current earnings.

Related-party transactions can distort a company's true financial position. Saudi Arabia slashed the tax rate on large oil companies to 50% from 85%, even though the government depends on the profits of Saudi Arabian Oil Co. for 80% of its revenues. Aramco will still pay most of its profits to the state, but as dividends rather than tax. That means reported profits will be higher, potentially increasing the company's valuation ahead of a highly anticipated initial public offering.

Complex structures can mask liabilities. Tesla, for instance, faces potential payments related to its SolarCity business. Before being bought by Tesla in 2016, SolarCity regularly sold future cash flows to outside investors in exchange for upfront cash. Tesla assumed these obligations and has continued the practice. The obligations now reportedly total over \$1.3 billion.

To reduce unfunded pension liabilities, some companies have borrowed at low available interest rates to inject money into the funds. That's fine as long as fund returns -- generally assumed to be around 6% to 8% -- are higher than the cost of borrowing. If returns come in lower, however, the companies in question will have to raise their contributions, affecting future earnings.

New business models often disregard potential costs. If Lyft Inc. and Uber Technologies Inc. drivers are reclassified as employees as proposed in California, then hidden employment costs would

need to be recognized, perhaps retrospectively. Newly listed fitness company Peloton Interactive Inc. faces a \$300 million lawsuit from music publishers who claim the company used their songs in workouts without paying licensing fees.